

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/686,800	KOSHIDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) William Hamby.

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 February 2006

**Time:** 2:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None.*

**Claims discussed:**

*None.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner made repeated calls to Mr. Hamby starting 2/27/06, however there was no response to the messages the examiner left on Mr. Hamby's voice mail.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)